

# THE SEED OF THE SERPENT



James E. Wise



## THE SEED of THE SERPENT

By: James E. Wise

And God said to the serpent: *And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.*

This introductory Scripture verse is found in a portion of Genesis 3:15, wherein God speaking to the serpent or devil said: *And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed.* The latter portion of this verse will be used at a later time to confirm some very positive and informative thoughts.

As stated before, God is talking to the devil and plainly states that the devil is to have seed or issue. Most preachers and Bible teachers teach that this verse bears the introductory promise of the Messiah or Christ's first advent in God's plan to reconcile man again to Himself. However, they seem unable or reluctant to admit that God here declared that the devil, too, was to have a seed. They are willing to concede that Eve's seed was a physical human seed; but they contend that the devil's, if he should have seed or issue, would be spiritual or without a physical body.

In order to clarify this, let us delve into the Word of God, for therein we will find the answer. Since we know that the woman's seed was a physical one, would it not be reasonable to believe that Satan's was also physical?

In Genesis 1:31, God, after He had finished his creative work, declared it to be good. The verse reads, *And God saw everything that He had made, and, behold it was very good.* However, when we read a few chapters farther, we find that the status has changed from "good" to "corrupt." In Genesis 6:12, we read, *And God looked upon the earth, and behold it was corrupt: for all flesh had corrupted his way upon the earth.* In verse 17 of this same chapter of Genesis, we hear a decree from Almighty God that that which He called "good" in Genesis 1:31 had now fallen into such a corrupt condition that he was now going to destroy it. It reads:

*And behold, I even I, do bring a flood of waters upon the earth, to destroy all flesh, wherein is the breath of life, from under heaven: and everything that is in the earth shall die.*

Somewhere between these two verses, i.e., Genesis 1:31 and Genesis 6:17, we will find the answer to the cause of God's decree to destroy His handiwork.

In Genesis 2:25, we find Adam and Eve still in their sinless perfection before God, for here we read: *And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed.* We need to skip

only six verses to find a very opposite picture — a picture of a pure innocence to one of guilt and shamefacedness. Genesis 3:7 declares, *And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made them aprons.* Should we study the things that transpired in the first six verses of Genesis 3, doubtlessly we would know what act committed by our first parents brought shame, sorrow, alienation from God, and the sting of death upon the race of man.

*Now the serpent was more subtile than any beast of the field which the Lord God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees in the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not ‘eat’ of it, neither shall ye ‘touch’ it, lest ye die.* Genesis 3:1, 2 and 3.

Up to this point we have seen that Eve had perfect and absolute understanding of what God had said concerning the fruit of the “tree of the knowledge of good and evil”; that she should abstain from the fruit of this tree and not so much as **‘touch’** it.

To clarify the meaning of the word **“tree”** as here used, this “tree of the knowledge of good and evil” was not as we usually use the word; one of sap, bark, and foliage; neither was it as we so often hear, “an apple tree”. For these do not possess the ability to discern between good and evil, nor are they endowed with the gift of speech.

If we would peruse the Scriptures, we would find that “races” and “trees” are used synonymously. In Romans 11:24, Paul speaks of the Gentiles as a “wild olive tree”, while Israel, as a race, was spoken of as an “olive tree”, and Ezekiel 31:3, says: *Behold, the Assyrian was a ‘cedar’ in Lebanon.* (Note: The mention here of the “wild olive tree” representing Gentiles is not correct in the sense it is put. The “wild olive tree” represents Israelites broken off the main branch and growing in a wild state. The “wild olive tree” is to be grafted back to the main branch from where it came. Both the “wild olive tree” and the “olive tree” are the same thing — they are not different races. Otherwise his example is very good.)

In Jeremiah 11:19, Jesus is referred to as a tree. Also, in Matthew 3:10, John the Baptist declares: *And now also the ax is laid unto the root of the trees: therefore every tree which bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.* These were not trees as we usually apply the term. John the Baptist simply meant that now Jesus, the Messiah, had come and all races would be brought into judgment before Him.

Therefore, this, that was spoken of or called “the tree of the knowledge of good and evil”, was the devil. Also in other places he is called the serpent, the dragon, belial etc.



In Genesis 3:4 we will continue the conversation recorded between the serpent and Eve. The serpent speaking says:

*Ye shall not surely die, for God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and it was pleasant to the eyes and a tree to be desired to make one wise she took of the fruit thereof and did eat.*

At this point it would be well to mention another tree of prominence that was in the Garden of Eden. In Genesis 2:9, God first mentions the stationary trees, pecan, peach, apricot, etc. After referring to these He says: the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

These two trees, “the tree of life” and “the tree of the knowledge of good and evil”, vied for Adam’s and Eve’s affection. And as it is today, “looks” played too great a part. As Eve looked from one to the other, she became captivated by the exquisite beauty of “the tree of the knowledge of good and evil”; but when she would turn to look at the “tree of life”, she would see one as the Prophet Isaiah so vividly describes: *...He hath no form nor comeliness: and when we shall see him, there is no beauty that we should desire him,* Isaiah 53:2. We will now read of the beauty of “the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.” God very positively declares that he had been in Eden, the garden of God. It is recorded in Ezekiel 28:12 through 15:

*...thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, ‘perfect in beauty’. Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God; every precious stone was thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emeralds, and the carbuncle, and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth; and I set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God; thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee.*

As Eve beheld on the one hand one that had no beauty and on the other ‘perfect in beauty’, she thus became captivated by what she was seeing. He was pleasant to the eyes, and as he had promised to make her as God Himself — “knowing good and evil” — “ she took the fruit thereof and did eat...”

To this juncture, only Eve has eaten the forbidden fruit proffered her by the serpent, and to this point, Adam has not partaken. soon, however, after Eve’s partaking with the serpent, the Bible states that “...she gave also unto her husband with her and he did eat.” At this point we observe that a change comes “from sinless purity, naked and not ashamed” to “they knew that



they were naked”; so they impoverished coverings for their organs of sex, as recorded in Genesis 3:7 for it states:

*And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons.*

Even though this is not the current understanding, a careful study of the Scriptures will definitely prove that the sin committed by our first parents was sexual; and Eve was indeed a “fallen Woman” after her partaking of the fruit of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

To further prove this, let us read Genesis 3:16 where God talked with Eve soon after she had eaten of the forbidden fruit:

*Unto the woman He said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow and thy conception; in sorrow thou shalt bring forth children: and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee.*

We should observe carefully where God places Eve’s punishment: “sorrow in conception”, and “sorrow in bringing forth children.” It is exactly where we would expect Him to put it if the sin was sexual. Also the word children depicts not singular, but plural bearing. Then He further adds, *Thy desire shall be to thy husband*, certainly alluding to the probability that her desire had heretofore been to another.

In Hebrews 13:4 Paul states, *Marriage is honourable in all, and the bed undefiled: but whoremongers and adulterers God will judge.* Only by the process of marriage and cohabitation could Man fulfill the command by God in Genesis 1:28 when He said: *Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth...* Eve’s transgression was that she committed this act of sexual unchastity apart from Adam and with the forbidden “tree of the knowledge of good and evil” here called the serpent.

Again we shall quote some of the Apostle Paul’s writings in the New Testament. In II Corinthians 11:2 and 3, Paul is writing to those converts that he had led, through much toil and travail, to Jesus Christ. To them he writes, *For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ.* Paul is here speaking in terms of chastity. A woman could be a thief, shoplifter, liar or any one of many things and still be a virgin; for there is but one way for a woman to lose her virginity.

Paul, while admonishing this Church in Corinth about chastity, remembered an episode that occurred in the Garden of Eden and he, in the very next verse, referred to it when he wrote: *But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtilty...* .The word beguiled means the same as does the word seduced and possibly should have been so translated



here. In the Jewish Talmud, (book) Yebamoth, 103a — 103b, it says that the serpent ‘copulated’ with Eve.

In Genesis 3:10 when Adam admitted his awareness of the fact that he was naked, God immediately says to him: *Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat?*

Adam did not have to confess, God knew that the eating of the forbidden fruit had made him aware of his nakedness.

At the time that God told Eve (Genesis 3:16) that she would bring forth children, Eve had already had unchaste relations with both the serpent and Adam. God was there making known to her that two seeds had been planted in her womb, one by the serpent and the other by Adam. This is verified in the subject verse when God, speaking to the serpent, mentioned the two seeds, “thy seed and her seed.”

In Genesis 4:1 we read, *And Adam knew Eve his wife: and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the Lord.* Even though Cain was her firstborn and she thought him to be her promised seed, she later acknowledges that Abel, not Cain, was her promised seed. Therefore, if Abel was her promised seed, then Cain would have to be the seed or progeny of the serpent. This is confirmed by the Apostle John and is recorded in 1 John 3:12 and reads: *Not as Cain who was ‘of’ that wicked one...* . The word ‘of’ plainly denotes offspring or progeny of the wicked one.

In Genesis 4:1 notice that Eve thinks Cain to be her seed, but in verse 25 she acknowledges that she was wrong. It reads, *And Adam knew his wife again, and she bare a son, and called his name Seth: for God, said she, hath appointed me another seed instead of Abel, whom Cain slew.* A perusal of the Bible dictionary shows the name ‘Seth’ to mean ‘substitute’, while the name ‘Cain’ means ‘acquired’ or gotten from another source. Nowhere in all the Bible is Cain’s name mentioned in the lineage of Adam. The place where Adam’s lineage occurs are Genesis 5:3, 1 Chronicles 1:1, and Luke 3:38.

Bible teachers and preachers are in agreement that Cain and Abel were twins. To this God’s word agrees, for in Genesis 4:3 and 4, they came of age at the same time and presented their offerings on the same day. Too, in Genesis 4:1, the Bible states that Adam ‘knew’ his wife, Eve, and she conceived by him; yet verses 1 and 2 show two births and only one conception is attributed to Adam. Verse 2 says, *And she again bare his brother Abel...*

To read the rest of this chapter one becomes amazed at the speed the Prophetic fulfillment; for in this very first generation we see the **enmity** mentioned in Genesis 3:15 brought forcefully to our remembrance when the serpent’s son, Cain, rises up and slays the woman’s son, Abel.



Beginning in Matthew 13:24 Jesus said,

*The kingdom of heaven is likened to a man which sowed good seed in his field: But while men slept, his enemy came and Sowed tares among the wheat and went his way. But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also.*

Jesus, in this parable, was likening God as the man. Naturally God's enemy would be the devil. God first sowed some **good seed**, or **God seed**, for the word 'good' is derived from the word 'God.' This good seed was Adam and Eve: Adam was good seed for he was the son of God (Luke 3:38) and Eve was taken from his side so she was exactly the same as he, being bone of his bones and flesh of his flesh. The serpent, God's enemy, came and sowed some seed of his own, the first of which, was Cain. The time of fruit was when Cain and Abel reached maturity and were required to present themselves to the Lord. Genesis 4:3 and 4 declares:

*And in the process of time it came to pass, that Cain brought of the fruit of the ground an offering unto the Lord. And Abel, he also brought of the firstlings of his flock and the fat thereof. And the Lord had respect unto Abel and his offering: But unto Cain and to his offering he had not respect. And Cain was wroth, and his countenance fell.*

As Jesus explained this parable in detail a little later in this chapter (Matthew 13), we will first read verses 35 and 35:

*All these things spake Jesus unto the multitude in parables; and without a parable spake he not unto them: That if might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, saying, I will open my mouth in parables; I will utter things which have been kept secret from the foundation of the world.*

To rightly understand this parable, we must go back to the foundation, or beginning, to this world, or age, as recorded in the book of Genesis.

The disciples were much intrigued with this parable because they knew there was something in it that they did not understand; so in verse 36 they asked Him saying:

*...Declare unto us the parable of the tares of the field. He answered and said unto them. He that sowed the good seed is the Son of man; the field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one; the enemy that sowed them is the devil... .*



The above parable is almost a perfect repetition of what we found to be written and portrayed in the first four chapters of Genesis.

Jesus in this parable, stated that the tares were the 'children of the wicked one' which agrees perfectly with 1 John 3:12 where John states that 'Cain was of that wicked one.' Also, we are told that Cain's progeny was destroyed at the time of the flood, and that none of them exist today. Yet, Jesus fixed the 'tares' destruction at the end of this age for He said in verse 40, *As therefore the tares are gathered and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world.*

Thus far in this narrative we have in no way endeavored to show who the seed or progeny of the serpent is, but only to confirm that there is an abundance of Bible evidence to prove that he does have children and that there will be enmity, distrust, and a state of constant friction between them and the Adamic race. They would be abusive to the rights, privileges, and laws of others; thus they would be an unassimilating group of people; a nation within a nation.

Being the seed or progeny of the devil, they would be the enemy of God and a deterring factor in the way of those who sought to worship Him. Jesus declared, *No man cometh unto the Father, but by me.* This being true, they would be 'Anti-Christ' and violently abusive to any and all who sought to worship Him.

1 John 2:22 portrays an excellent picture of one who would seem to fit the serpent's progeny. It reads, *Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is anti-christ, that denieth the Father and the Son.* As the word Christ means 'anointed of God' this verse would have been better, had it said, *Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the anointed of God?*

Again in 1 John 4:2 and 3,

*Hereby know ye the spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is 'of' God: And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: ...this is that spirit of antichrist... .*

Ben Hecht, a Jewish writer of Hollywood, showed a very antichrist tint when he wrote in one of his books: *One of the finest things ever done by the mob was the crucifixion of Christ. Intellectually it was a splendid gesture. But trust the mob to bungle. If I'd had charge of executing Christ, I'd have handled it differently. You see, what I'd have done was had him shipped to Rome and fed to the lions. They never could have made a savior out of mincemeat.*

1 John 3:10 confirms the existence of two seeds when he says, *In this the children 'of' God are manifest, and the children of the Devil... .* Doubtlessly these things are new to many who will read this, but more confirmation should be unnecessary. As the Bible proves the existence of the devil's seed or progeny, let it now positively identify them.



In St. John 8:22, we find Jesus talking to some Jews and in verse 23 we read, *And he said unto them, Ye are from beneath; I am from above...* . Jesus here declares His origin and theirs to be the same only if one is able to reconcile beneath and above as being the same place. When we begin to check the word 'beneath', we find that it is used synonymously with 'hell'; for Isaiah 14:9 starts by saying: "Hell from beneath." Therefore Jesus could have said, Ye are from hell, I am from heaven. Matthew 23:15 confirms this as correct, for Jesus in this verse calls them **"children of hell."** It reads,

*Woe unto you, scribes, and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the "child of hell" than yourselves.*

It may be well to mention here that the scribes and Pharisees of Matthew, Mark and Luke are the same people that are called Jews in John and the Acts of the Apostles.

Now to continue in John , 8th chapter, verse 31. Again the Word affirms these to be Jews, for it reads,

*Then said Jesus to those Jews that believed on him, if ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed; and ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free. They answered his, we be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man: how sayest thou, Ye shall be made free?*

In this verse just read, these Jews claimed descendancy from Abraham. This Jesus confirmed, for in verse 37, He said, *I know that ye are Abraham's seed.* But then as now one should look at and study very carefully and critically any statement that a Jew would make for no number of lies will ever make a truth. So let us analyze their above statement. the Jews claim to be Israelites or the seed and progeny of Israel. This is an absolute untruth, for in reality they are the offspring of the devil of serpent. That is why Jesus in Matthew 23:32 and 33 said unto them;

*Fill ye up the measure of your fathers. Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?*

Jesus was pointing right back to their family tree when He mentioned their fathers; also the word 'generation' if translated 'race' would have been correct.

Now lets analyze Jesus' statement, *"I know that ye are Abraham's seed."* In many instances the Children of Israel married or had children by Canaanite women. Also Judah, one of the sons of Israel, married a Canaanite women, and there was one surviving seed of this unholy union whose name was Shelah. In Genesis 46:10 Simeon, another son of Israel had a son whose name



was Shaul by one of the women of the devil race. Should any of the descendants of either Shaul or Shelah have been standing before Jesus, they could have truthfully claimed Abraham in their ancestral lineage.

Note: Although Judah did take a Canaanite for a wife, there was no “serpent” blood infused into true Israel. The true descendants of Judah came through Tamar. Tamar was from the House of Shem, (The Book Of Jasher, Chapter XLV, verse 25). Also, it is true that Simeon took a Canaanite for a wife, but it is recorded in Jasher, chapter XLV, verses 2-3, that Simeon took his sister, Dinah for a wife and she bare him pure seed. I point this out so you will understand that all the Twelve Tribes stayed the pure seed of Yahweh and are still that way today. There was never, at any time, any Satanic or any other enowsh blood entered into the Adamic race. Whenever it did, these offspring became “serpents.” If a person has any, no matter how small the amount, of “serpent” blood, they are all “serpent.” - *CAE*

King Solomon had Canaanite wives, and after the tribe of Judah’s seventy-year sojourn in Babylon, they returned to the land of Canaan and many of them committed fornication by taking wives of the devil-sired race; even though God had forbidden intermarriage with them.

In Deuteronomy 7:1 God mentions the seven Canaanitish nations, and knowing them to be the seed and progeny of the Devil, God gives commandment concerning them. Beginning at verse 2 Moses says:

*And when the Lord thy God shall deliver them before thee, thou shalt smite them, and utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor shew mercy unto them; neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.*

It would be difficult, indeed, for those who foster and propagate the fatherhood-of-God and the brotherhood-of-man myth to explain what has just been quoted.

In verse 33 of John 8, these Jews said, *We were never in bondage to any man.* Should they have been Israelites, they could not have truthfully have made this statement; for all twelve tribes were in bondage in Egypt, and at a later time the ‘Ten Tribes’ were taken into Assyrian captivity under Shalmanezzer. And at an even later date, Nebuchadnezzar, King of Babylon, took ‘Two-Tribed Judah’ and brought them into servitude under the Babylonians. At the time that they said that they had never been in bondage, they were even then serving under the Romans.

The Jew’s ancestral name used in olden times was Canaanite. the name Jew does not appear in Scripture until the time of Ahaz, King of Israel, who according to chronology, reigned from 3264 until 3280 after the fall of Adam, or from 736 to 720 B.C. This should prove that they



lived many centuries before but were known by another name. The first mention of Jews is in II Kings 16:6 and reads: *At the time Resin, king of Syria, recovered Elath to Syria and drove the Jews from Elath...* . Good definitions for the word Jew is peddler, trafficker, and in Civil War days they were called “Carpet-baggers.” The name is also used to depict the rejected remnant, or “evil figs” of Jeremiah, chapter 24. Nebuchadnezzar knew them well enough that he would not allow them to be brought as captives into Babylon.

Though they steadfastly deny that they are Canaanites, they seem unable to explain why a certain passage is written in their Talmud, which reads: *Five things has Canaan recommended to his sons: when you go to war do not go as the first; but as the last so you may return at the first; love each other; love robbery, hate your masters; and never tell the truth.* This is from Q Pesachim F 113b. If these Jews are the seed of Abraham as they claim, why are they interested in obeying what Canaan recommended to his sons? The Canaanites were an olden people at the time of Abraham’s birth, for when God called Abraham to leave his father’s house to go to another place the Scriptures state: ...And the Canaanite was then in the land. Genesis 12:6.

Thinking students of the Bible are wondering what authority there is and where it is found for the supplanting of the name Israel by the name Jew. Jesus likely had this in mind when He accused those in power of taking away the key of knowledge. He was definitely speaking of this Canaanitish element who had wormed their way into position of power and trust when He said in Matthew 11:12: *And from the days of John the Baptist until now the kingdom of heaven suffereth violence, and the violent take it by force. The seed and progeny of the violent one, by fair means of otherwise, were serving from Chief Priest to Scribe as interpreters, to the executors of the Law.*

In Deuteronomy 14:1 God, talking to the children of Israel, said, *Ye are the children of the Lord you God.* God, who declares these children of Israel to be His offspring, tells them to smite and utterly destroy these Canaanites. I wonder what father among us would advocate the destruction of one of his sons by another so related?

In Deuteronomy 7:3 God forbade Israel from marrying into this corrupt Canaanite race when He said: Thy daughter thou shalt not give into his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son. In verse 6 God lets us know why, or at least in part, when He further says, *For thou are an ‘holy people’ unto the Lord your God; the Lord hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth.*

At this point we want to state that Mr. Lincoln, in his Gettysburg Address, was not speaking either the mind, or the words, of God when he asserted *that all men are created equal*; for a certainty the Bible teaches no such theory, but rather the very opposite.



Ezra 9:1 and 2 confirms that when the tribe of Judah returned to Palestine after their seventy-year sojourn in Babylon they began to marry into this corrupt and cursed devil race. It reads:

*Now when these things were done, the princes came to me saying, The people of Israel, and the priest, and Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians and the Amorites. For they have taken of the daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the 'holy seed' have mingled themselves with the people of those lands: yea, the land of the princes and rulers have been chief in this trespass.*

Note: There is something very interesting, at this point that should be pointed out here! This group of nations are mentioned in Genesis 15:18-21:

*18 In the same day the LORD made a covenant with Abram, saying, Unto thy seed have I given this land, from the river of Egypt unto the great river, the river Euphrates: 19 **The Kenites**, and the Kenizzites, and the Kadmonites, 20 And the Hittites, and the Perizzites, and the Rephaim, 21 And the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Girgashites, and the Jebusites.*

You will notice, at this time they are listed as ten nations. Of these nations the “Kenites” were the “serpent” descendants of Cain. The Kenizzites were descendants of Esau. The Rephaim were the descendants of fallen angels who race mixed with the daughters of men and produced giants (mutants). These three race-mixed with these other seven to the point that the Kenites, Kenizzites and Rephaim were completely absorbed in them and they all became serpent-seed. You can see these seven nations referred to in Deuteronomy 7:1 and Acts 13:19. You will notice by the reference above, Ezra 9:1-2, that the Ammonites and Moabites are added to the list. Evidently the “Satanic seed” was infused among these peoples too. It was not because Lot had incest with his daughters, however deplorable that might be, that made these Moabites and Ammonites off-limits to the Israelites but the mixing of the Satanic seed among them, for Lot and his daughters were racially pure. - CAE

Should we continue here, we would find that there had been many children born to these ‘unholy unions’ and upon confession and repentance before God, those ‘of’ Israel, the holy seed, put away or divorced, their Canaanite husbands or wives, as well as the children that were born of them, thus staying the fierce anger of God. Should any of the descendants of these God rejected people have been standing before Jesus, they too could have traced their lineage back to Abraham.

In John 8:42, Jesus said,



*If God were your father ye would love me; for I proceeded forth and came from God: neither came I of myself, but He sent me. Why do ye not understand my speech? Even because ye cannot hear my words.*

In verse 42, Jesus denied that God was their father, then in verse 44 He lifts the veil and in unmistakable words He declares that the Devil was their father, for here it says:

*Ye are 'of' your father the devil, and the lust of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning and abode not in the truth: because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own; for he is a liar, and the father of it.*

It is indeed strange that one can read any pedigree or bill of sale and very readily and unmistakably understand what it means. Should one read the pedigree of a horse, and in it would be “she is a Black Gold and Meadow Queen”, we would know immediately and question that she was sired by Black Gold and born of Meadow Queen.

But God, the author of all languages and wisdom, can state that “Cain was of that wicked one” or “ye are of your father the devil”; but for us to intimate or teach that God declares Cain, and those to whom Jesus was talking, as being the begotten progeny of the devil, makes the Bible libelous and God immoral [if he is not].

Again this confirms Cain’s parentage when Jesus stated “he was a murderer from the beginning” for Cain was the murderer in the beginning. Also Cain was the man of sin that Paul refers to in II Thessalonians 2:3 when he writes: *Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son 'of' perdition.* Yes, it is now confirmed that those who have usurped the title, Israel and God’s Chosen People are ‘the seed of the serpent or children of perdition.’

Immediately after Cain slew Abel, we read that God rebuked and cursed Cain. In Genesis 4:11 we read:

*And now art thou cursed from the earth which hath opened her mouth to receive thy brother’s blood from thy hand; when thou tillest the ground, it shall not henceforth yield thee her strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in the earth.*

According to this, Cain and his seed were to be fugitives which are those fleeing from deserved punishment; and vagabonds, which Webster defines as “without fixed habitation”, “a vagrant” or a “scamp.” Luke writes of some “vagabonds” in The Acts 19:13. Let us see if they, in any wise, could be linked with Cain or his progeny. It says,

*Then certain of the vagabond Jews, exorcist, took upon them to call over them which had evil spirits the name of the Lord Jesus, saying, we adjure you by Jesus whom Paul preacheth.*

This was Satan trying to cast out Satan, but as it was with the Rosenbergs, Julius and Ethel, sometimes they are unable to get away with their treasonable acts. The fate of the Rosenbergs we know, so let us see what happened to these vagabond Jews. Acts 19:14, 15 and 16.

*And there was seven sons of one Speva, a Jew, and chief of the priest, which did so. And the evil spirit answered and said, Jesus I know, and Paul I know; but who are ye? And the man in whom the evil spirit was, leaped on them, and overcame them, so that they fled out of the house naked and wounded.*

In genesis 4:11 we heard God say to Cain that to him the ground was cursed and that it would not produce its strength for him. This is doubtlessly an excellent reason why we do not see Jews tilling the soil of following the trade of farming. The latter part of Genesis 4:15 states: ... *And the Lord set a mark upon Cain ...* ; and to this day, the Jew has to reckon with this mark; for unless it be removed by surgery, it is impossible for him to hide the mark of Cain upon his face. Isaiah having knowledge of this, wrote, *The shew of their countenance doth witness against them*, Isaiah 3:9.

Beginning with Matthew 23:29 we read:

*Woe unto you Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites: because ye build the tombs of the prophets, and garnish the sepulchers of the righteous, And say, if we had been in the days of our fathers, we would not have been partakers with them in the blood of the prophets.*

At this point Jesus puts a very grievous indictment against them when He said: *Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves that ye are the children of them that killed the prophets*. Jesus here confirms that these that stood before Him were the seed and progeny of those who in all times past had been the enemies of both God and man.

Paul, in 1 Thessalonians 2:14 and 15 states:

*For ye brethren, became followers of the churches of God which in Judaea are in Jesus Christ: for 'ye' also suffered like things of 'your own' countrymen, even as 'they' have of the 'Jews': who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us, and they please not God, and are contrary to all men.*

Matthew 23:32:



*Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell? Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues and persecute them from city to city: that upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias, son of Barachias, whom 'ye' slew between the temple and the altar.*

Here Jesus charges these Jews with all the righteous blood shed upon the earth from that of first-slain Abel by Cain to the recent slaying of Zacharias, the son of Barachias. Jesus could not have justly charged these Jews with the blood of Abel had they not been the seed and progeny of Cain.

In John 6:70, Jesus said, *Have not I chosen you twelve and one of you is a devil?* Jesus definitely calls Judas Iscariot a devil and confirms that he is of a race of devils when He mentions his earthly progenitor. It reads, *He spake of Judas Iscariot the son of Simon: for he it was that should betray him ...*

Also in Acts 13:6 we read:

*And when they had gone through the isle unto Paphos, they found a certain sorcerer, a false prophet, a Jew, whose name was Barjesus: which was with the deputy of the country, Sergius, a prudent man; who called for Barnabus and Saul, and desired to hear the word of God. But Elymas the sorcerer (for so is his name by interpretation) withstood them, seeking to turn away the deputy from the faith. Then Saul (who also is called Paul,) filled with the Holy Ghost, set his eyes on him, and said, O full of all subtilty and mischief, thou child of the devil, thou enemy of all righteousness, wilt thou not cease to pervert the right ways of the Lord?*

Again we hear of a Jew being linked with the devil's offspring for this time it says, ***thou child of the devil.*** Then Paul further states, ***wilt thou not cease to pervert the right ways of the Lord?*** Again we observe that the Jew's inherent nature is to destroy truth by making it appear false or questionable and a falsehood he will just as ardently try to make appear as the truth.

Let us again turn to and read our subject verse:

*And I will put enmity between thee and the woman and between thy seed and her seed: it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.*

Here God declares that the woman's seed would bruise the serpent's head. The seed and progeny of Adam is called the woman's seed; yet God, when talking with Abraham, referred to a specific or singular seed when He said: *And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed; because thou has obeyed my voice*, Genesis 22:18. Jesus Christ was this one singular seed as He was the *only begotten Son of God*. Thus He was the seed of the woman for man had no part in placing this seed in the womb of Mary. He was called the son of man because God chose that He should be born through the Adamic race, or race of man as the word 'Adam' and 'man' mean exactly the same.

Paul, in Galatians 3:16 says: *Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made. He said not, and to seeds, as of many: but as of one, and to thy seed which is Christ*. So when we see Christ, the woman's seed born of Mary who was of the pure lineage of Adam, suspended upon the cross, it is complete fulfillment of Genesis 3:15; for those that were able to accomplish this bruising, could be none other than the "serpent's seed." In many places the Bible declares the Jews to be His murderers.

1st Thessalonians 2:14 and 15 says: " ... *even as they have of the Jews; who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets ...* . Also in Acts 10:39, *And we are witnesses of all things which he did, both in the land of the Jews, and in Jerusalem; whom they slew and hanged on the tree: Him God raised up ...* .

Lets us see who the Jew admits himself to be. In the publication "Liberal Judaism", January, 1949, there is an article entitled, "Liberal Judaism and Israel" written by one of their greatest and most renowned Rabbis, Dr. Abba Hillel Silver. Dr. Silver, writing about the then new State of Israel says:

*" ... the third commonwealth of the Jewish Nation is thus an accomplished fact. The State of Israel exists.*

*"As a result the concept of the wandering Jew is bound eventually to disappear along with the term (galut) exile. All nations send forth immigrants to all parts of the world. People are continually moving from one country to another, and change their citizenship, but they are not regarded as exiles.*

*"This fact alone — the end of national exile for the Jewish people, as such — is destined to affect favorably the psyche of the Jew throughout the world. It will endow the Jew, wherever he lives, with a self respect and a sense of security, a normal tone, long-wanting in Jewish experience. For the curse of Cain, the curse of being an outcast and a wanderer over the face of the earth has been removed*

...



Another source of much information is the Talmud; here are a few quotations from it:

*“The teachings of the Talmud stand above all other laws. They are more important than the law of Moses.*

This is a direct quotation of Rabbi Ismael. Rabbi Menachem wrote,

*“The decisions of the Talmud are words of the living God. Jehovah, Himself, asks the opinion of the early Rabbis when there are difficult affairs in heaven.*

*“To communicate anything to a goy about our religious relations would be equal to the killing of all Jews, for if the goyim knew what we teach about they would kill us openly.*

Libbre David 37. Goy and Goyim are words meaning “non-jewish.”

*“The Jews are human beings but the nations of the world are not human beings but beast. Baba Meca 11, 6.*

*“Jehovah created the non-Jew in human form so that the Jew would not have to be served by beast. The non-Jew is consequently an animal in human form and condemned to serve the Jew day and night. Midrasch Talpioth p. 2251.*

The Jews claim long and loud that they are Israelites, yet this learned Jewish Rabbi admits that the curse that God spoke against Cain and his issue was upon the Jewish race. Surely any Bible student would know that no Israelite ever sprang from the loins of Cain, and any Jew that claims to be an Israelite in nearly all cases are liars, for their origin was not with God. Hear a part of a conversation between Jesus and some of his disciples, Matthew 15:12 and 13:

*Then came his disciples, and said unto him, Knowest thou that the Pharisees were offended after they heard this saying? But He answered and said, every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up.*

Jesus, here denied that they were a planting of God.

In the California Jewish Voice, a publication, dated August 17, 1956, there is an article which we think should be at least mentioned in part. It says: *The Jews in all parts of the world are members of a Mediterranean racial group having distinct genetic factors not found among non-Jews, two Israeli scientist reported here this week-end as the first International Congress on human genetics.*

The Israeli scientist, Dr. Leo Sachs of the Weizmann Institute, and Dr. Bat-Miram of the Israel Institute for Biological Research, used fingerprint patterns in their investigations. After examining 4,000 prints of immigrants to Israel from Poland, Germany, Iraq, Egypt, Morocco, Yemen, Bulgaria, and Turkey, the scientist found a unique pattern of loops, whirls, and arches which could not be found in samples of fingerprints of non-Jews which they studied.

There is no authority in all the Bible for the supplanting of the name Israel by the word Jew. However, where the name Jew appears, should the name Israel be used, it would in most instances be correct. Both Revelation 2:9 and 3:9 are excellent examples. With this change, notice how factual the verses become. “Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan which say they are Israel, and are not, but do lie ...” and “I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich) and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Israel, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan.”

If, in Romans 3:1 and 2, the name Israel had been used instead of the name Jew, the statement would have been correct; for to Israel, not the Jews, was the oral Word given at Sinai.

In a final word of exhortation: an idea, thought, or concept that is adverse to the Bible or [the] teaching of God is indeed a dangerous thing: so, should this treatise bear the image of truth, it would be well to ponder and study it farther for the Bible, to many, should become a new and meaningful book.

As Balaam says in Numbers 23:8: *How can I curse whom God hath not cursed? or how shall I defy whom God hath not defied?* Isaiah 5:20 should restrain us when he says: *Woe unto them that call evil good and good evil ...*

Jude 3 and 4:

*Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you, of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort you that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints.*

***FOR THERE ARE CERTAIN MEN CREPT IN UNAWARES, WHO WERE BEFORE OF OLD ORDAINED TO THIS CONDEMNATION, UNGODLY MEN, TURNING THE GRACE OF OUR GOD INTO LASCIVIOUSNESS, AND DENYING THE ONLY LORD GOD, AND OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST.***

In Matthew 23:27 Jesus is talking to some Jews here called Scribes and Pharisees. It reads, *Ye build the tombs of the prophets, and garnish the sepulchres of the righteous ...* . It is indeed amazing how perfectly this depicts the Jew of our day as they cheat, swindle, and will stoop at nothing short of murder to gain their desired objective. They must sit in on every conference and



have spies in every organization. As it is in the present race problem, they pose as being sympathetic to both sides, while in reality, they are there primarily to cause friction and distrust between all factions. As the name serpent implies, they are liable to be anywhere and at anytime, ready to strike and slither away into hiding letting what they have started take its course. They are invisible makers of wars and creators of crises. By coercion and intimidation they are able to impose their wills upon whole populaces, and when Presidents or Kings go contrary to their precepts, they by drawing tight the strings of their purse and withholding credit, are able to bring either panic or depression.

The admonition given us by Paul in II Corinthians 6:14-18 fits most aptly when he says:

*Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness? And what concord hath Christ with Belial? or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel? And what agreement hat the temple of God with idols? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them and be ye separate saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing: and I will receive you, and will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty'*

James E. Wise



